# Improved Etherification Procedure for the Preparation of Dibenz[b,f][1,4]oxazepine

H. Fakhraian\*, Y. Nafary, A. Yarahmadi and H. Hadj-Ghanbary

Department of Chemistry, Imam Hossein University, Tehran, IRAN E-mail: <u>fakhraian@yahoo.com</u> Received July 23, 2007



The effect of temperature and catalyst on the yield and rate of the etherification reaction between 1 and 2 was investigated and alternative methods for separation of 3 and 4 from the reaction mixture have been described.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 45, 1469 (2008).

## **INTRODUCTION**

Dibenz[b, f][1,4]oxazepine (4) is an incapacitating and a lachrymatory agent [1-6]. It is chemically related to *loxapine* (antipsychotic), *amoxapine* (antidepressant) [7] and others substituted dibenzoxazepine compounds that are analgesic or useful for the treatment of various diseases [8-10]. There are different methods for the preparation of this compound [11-19]. Tambute *et al.* described the use of 1-chloro-2-nitrobenzene and salicylaldehyde as starting materials for the preparation of 4 by a two-step reaction [11] (etherification and reductive cyclization) or by a three-step reaction (etherification, ketalization and reductive cyclization) [12].

Aryl etherifications require long periods of heating and several procedures under different conditions [phase-transfer-catalyst, ionic liquid, copper salt catalyst (Ullmann synthesis), *etc.*] have been studied [20-22].

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We have preliminary performed the etherification step by refluxing the mixture of salicylaldehyde with 2 (200 mol %) in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  in DMF (the procedure of Tambute et al. [11]) for 6 h and obtained 2-(2nitrophenoxy)benzaldehyde (3) in 15% yield. Even the use of benzyltriethylammonium chloride in conjunction with NaOH in a two-phase solvent system  $(H_2O/CH_2Cl_2)$ was unsuccessful for the preparation of 3. The two-step reaction of sodium salt of salicylaldehyde (1) with 1chloro-2-nitrobenzene (2) (etherification and reductive cyclization) for the preparation of 4 was investigated. Special attention was paid to determine optimized conditions (temperature, time of reaction and catalyst) for the etherification step and formation of 3. The sodium salt of salicyladehyde (1) was prepared as a yellow fluorescent solid by the addition of salicylaldehyde to a saturated methanolic solution of sodium methoxide. The etherification of **1** with **2** in equimolar amount was performed in DMSO at different temperatures (T> 200°C) in a pressure glass autoclave and the yield was determined by weighting the crude product after washing the reaction mixture with water and extraction into diethyl ether (Table 1, experiments 1-6). The use of DMSO (bp 189°C) favored completion of the reaction at temperature higher than 200°C using a pressure glass autoclave.

We observed a strong temperature dependence of the etherification reaction rate between 1 and 2. At temperatures higher than 100°C, a resinous component was formed, the amount of which increased with reaction time. A temperature between 200 and 300°C was favorable for the rapid etherification with high yields. At temperatures lower than 200°C, the reaction rate was very slow and at temperatures higher than 300°C, the reaction mixture decomposed to undesirable components and low yields of 3 was obtained.

Other etherification attempts were studied using CuCl or CuO as catalyst and the yield of **3** was determined by GC (Table 1, Experiments 6-9). CuCl seems to be an adequate catalyst in a reasonable time at  $120^{\circ}$ C and at reflux temperature with a minor amount of resinous component in the reaction mixture. Compound **1** was thermally unstable and decomposed to a black solid in contact with air moisture and thus should be used freshly prepared and in excess amount in the etherification reaction with **2** at high temperatures.

Separation of **3** from the reaction mixture was achieved either by washing the reaction mixture with water and extraction of **3** from the residue with ether or by addition of the reaction mixture to a large amount of water (10 fold of volume of DMSO used) followed by adjustment of the pH to 9 by addition of 1 mg solid NaOH and separation of the precipitate formed.

Reductive cyclization of 3 (second step) was performed by the classical method proposed by Tambute *et al.* [11]

Expt <sup>a</sup>	Catalyst (mol%)	Temperature (°C)	Reaction time (hr.)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1		200	10	91
2		250	5	74
3		300	3	86
4		350	7	30
5		400	1	41
6	CuCl, 10	reflux	3	74
7	CuCl, 10	120	16	86
8	CuO, 10	120	24	50
9		120	24	35

 Table 1

 Temperature and Catalytic Effect on the Etherification Reaction Between 1 and 2.

a) Equimolar amounts of 1 and 2 (in 0.01 molar scale) with 5 mL of DMSO as solvent were used in all experiments. b) In experiments 1-6, Reaction was performed in a pressure glass autoclave and the yields were expressed as the crude product weighted after washing the reaction mixture with water, extracting 3 with diethyl ether and evaporation of solvent. In experiments 6-9 the yields were expressed as the proportional surface area of 3 after GC analysis of the reaction mixture.

(Experimental Section). Performing the separation of **4** from the reaction mixture, without neutralization of the reaction mixture as suggested by some authors [11], afforded a purer product. Surprisingly, the solvent of choice that caused separation of pure **4** (mp 68°C) was carbon tetrachloride where **3** and resinous residues have low solubility. This property can also be used to obtain purer **3**, free of **2** (which is very soluble in CCl<sub>4</sub>), by washing the product obtained in the first step with CCl<sub>4</sub>. It is to be noted that the previous reports [11,13] suggested the purification of **4** by distillation [11] (bp 125 °C/0.2 mmHg) or by chromatography on silica gel column using benzene as eluent [13].

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **3** and **4** are presented in Figure 1. The chemical shift of the aldehyde proton in **3** (at  $\delta$  10.5) and the imine proton in **4** (at  $\delta$  8.5) are characteristic of these two compounds. We observed distinct signals for the aryl protons of **3**, but the same protons in **4** showed a complex signal between  $\delta$  7.13-7.45 in agreement with those reported in literatures [12,13,23].

In summary, the effect of temperature and catalyst on the yield and rate of the etherification reaction between 1 and 2 was investigated and alternative methods for separation of 3 and 4 from the reaction mixture have been described. A temperature between 200 and 300°C was favorable for the rapid and high yield etherification between 1 and 2 using DMSO as solvent in a pressure autoclave. CuCl seem to be an adequate catalyst for the etherification reaction between 1 and 2 in a reasonable time at 120°C and at reflux temperature. Carbon tetrachloride is an appropriate solvent to obtain pure 4 and 3 without need of purification procedures (distillation, crystallization or column chromatography).

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Nmr spectra were obtained on a Bruker DPX-250 instrument (250 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 62.5 MHz for  $^{13}$ C), and CDCl<sub>3</sub> was used as



Figure 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectra (in CDCl3) of 3 (a) and 4 (b).

solvent; chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  (ppm) from TMS. Electronic ionization GC-ms spectra were recorded on a Varian (SATURN 4D) spectrometer with capillary column (DB-5MS, 0.1 micron, 30 m x 0.250 mm). Only m/z values having intensities of more than 10% are given and retention times are reported using temperature programming (100-250°C, 10°C/min) with He flow rate of 10 mL/min. Melting points were obtained on a Mettler FP61 apparatus.

**Preparation of 2-(2-nitrophenoxy)benzaldehyde (3).** The freshly prepared sodium salt of salicylaldehyde (79 g, 0.55 mol), 1-chloro-2-nitrobenzene (78.5 g, 0.50 mol) and DMSO (200 mL) were placed in a 1000-mL, one-necked flask equipped with a two-inch magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred at 120°C for 72 h. After cooling, it was added to 2 L of water and the pH was adjusted to 9 by addition 1 mg solid NaOH. The precipitated brown solid crude product (90.9 g, 75%) was collected and air-dried. Crystallization of the precipitate from *n*-heptane afforded very pure yellow-orange needled solid of **3**, mp 80-81°C, lit. [12] mp 77-78°C. <sup>1</sup>H nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.88 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub>

= 8.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.12 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H\cdotH} = 8.2$  Hz, 1H, CH), 7.27 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H\cdot} = 7.5$  Hz, 1H, CH), 7.33 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H\cdotH} = 7.5$  Hz, 1H, CH), 7.55 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H\cdotH} = 7.5$  Hz, 1H, CH), 7.61 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H\cdotH} = 7.5$  Hz, 1H, CH), 7.96 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H\cdotH} = 7.5$  Hz, 1H, CH), 8.35 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{H\cdotH} = 7.5$  Hz, 1H, CH), 10.49 (s, 1H, CHO).  ${}^{13}C$  nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  118, 121.7, 124.5, 124.8, 126.2, 126.9, 129, 134.7, 135.9, 141.6, 149.4, 158.4, 188.6 GC-ms: retention time: 14.3 min; m/z (intensity (%)): 50 (14), 51 (26), 63 (32), 65 (11), 92 (30), 115 (31), 120 (10), 139 (45), 168 (46), 182 (27), 196 (16), 197 (31), 198 (100), 199 (15), 226 (22), 244 (10).

Preparation of Dibenz[b,f][1,4]oxazepine (4). Sulfuric acid (25%, 100 mL) was added dropwise to a mixture of 3 (10.23 g, 0.07 mol), iron powder (23.1 g, 0.42 mol), methanol (35 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (35 mL) in a 250-mL, two-necked flask equipped with an addition funnel and a mechanical stirrer. The mixture was stirred at reflux temperature for 6 h and then was extracted by CHCl<sub>3</sub> (200 mL). The crude viscous product obtained after vacuum stripping was extracted into CCl<sub>4</sub> (2 x 50 mL) and, after evaporation of solvent, pure 4, mp 68-69°C was obtained, lit. [13-15] mp 71-72°C, lit. [11] mp 68-74°C). <sup>1</sup>H nmr (CDCl<sub>2</sub>): δ 7-7.5 (m, 8H, CH), 8.52 (s, 1H, CHN). <sup>13</sup>C nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 119.6, 120.3, 124, 124.6, 126.3, 127.7, 128.2, 129, 132.3, 139.5, 151.6, 159.4, 159.5, in agreement with literature [24]. GC-ms: retention time : 10.2 min; m/z (intensity (%)): 50 (11), 51 (16), 63 (16), 139 (27), 140 (13), 166 (29), 167 (55), 195 (100), 196 (24) (lit.<sup>25</sup> 139 (25), 167 (52), 195 (100)).

#### RERERENCES

[1] Siliwczuk, U.; Hibinger, H. German Patent 4,104,427, 1992; *Chem. Abstr.* **1992**, *117*, 206963j.

[2] Norman, A. South African Patent 86 08,051, 1988; *Chem. Abstr.* **1989**, *111*, 161294z.

[3] Brown Jr., H. A. U.S. Patent 69,034, 1980; *Chem. Abstr.* **1980**, *93*, 75016p.

[4] Okafor, C. O. *Heterocycles* **1977**, *7*, 391.

[5] Bandmann, A. L.; Savateev, N. V. Voen.-Med. Zh. 1977, 3, 84; Chem. Abstr.1977, 87, 96824s.

[6] Olajos, B. J.; Salem, H. J. Appl. Toxicol. 2001, 21, 355.

[7] Coccaro, B. F.; Siever, L. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1985, 25, 241.

[8] Warawa, B. J.; Migler, B. M.; Ohnmacht, J. C.; Needles, A. L.; Gatos, C. G.; McLaren, F. M.; Nelson, C. L.; Kirkland, K. M. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 372.

 [9] Hallinan, B. A.; Husa, R. K.; Peterson, K. B. European Patent 0512399, 1992 (or U.S. Patent 5,283,240, 1994); *Chem. Abstr.* 1993, 118, 102003a.

[10] Chandrakumar N. S.; Hagen, T. J.; Hallinan, E. A.; Husa,
 R. K. World Patent 9307132, 1993 (or U.S. Patent 5,449,673, 1995);
 *Chem. Abstr.* 1993, 119, 117284n.

[11] Tambute, A. French Patent 2,351,970, 1977; *Chem. Abstr.* **1979**, *90*, 23130q.

[12] Tambute, A. French Patent 2,348,205, 1977; *Chem. Abstr.* **1978**, 89, 24376r.

[13] Narasimhan, N. S.; Chandrachood, P. S. Synthesis 1979, 589.

[14] Hunziker, F.; Kuenzel, F.; Schindler, O.; Schmutz, J. *Helv. Chem. Acta* **1964**, *47*, 1163.

[15] Higginbottom R.; Suschitzky H. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 2367.

[16] Malhotra, R. C.; Gutch, P. K.; Pal, V.; Ramachandran, P.
 K.; Swamy, R. *Indian Patent* 180624, 1998; *Chem. Abstr.* 2004, 140, 375197t.

[17] Bag, B. C.; Kaushik, M. P. Indian J. Chem. Techn. 2002, 9,484; Chem. Abstr. 2003, 138, 237689n.

[18] Bag, B. C.; Kaushik, M. P. Indian J. Chem. Techn., 2002, 9,415; Chem. Abstr. 2003, 138,273249c.

[19] Patman, R.; Bunce, R. A. *Dibenzo[bf]1,4-oxazepines and their 10,11-Dihydro Derivatives by a Consecutive Reduction-Reductive Amination Reaction*, 16<sup>th</sup> Annual Research Symposium, Oklahoma State University, 2005.

[20] Marcoux, J. F.; Doye S.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10539.

[21] Saitoh, T.; Ichikawa, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9696.

[22] Buck, E.; Song, Z. J.; Tschaen, D.; Dormer, P. G.; Volante, R. P.; Reider, P. J. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1623.

[23] Mesilaakso, M.; Tolppa, E. L. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *68*, 2313.

[24] Mesilaakso, M. Magn. Reson. Chem. **1996**, 34, 989.

[25] D'Agostino, P. A.; Provost, L. R. J. Chromatogr. A,1995, 695, 65.